## All Things Tall Whitetop

Tom Getts UCCE Advisor

 \*\*\*\*In the following presentation any mention of pesticide or pesticide trade name is not an recommendation by the University of California. Pesticides are mentioned by trade name for informational purposes only. Mention of any pesticide is not a guarantee of their effectiveness or an endorsement of other pesticides not mentioned. When ever using a pesticide make sure to read and follow the entire label. Some uses of pesticides mentioned could be experimental not labeled uses.

### Outline

- Introduction/Impacts
- Biology
- Control

### Legal Status

- B list noxious weed California
- Cal IPC aggressive invader

### Impacts

- Ecological
- Economic



### Grazing

- Not as good as more desirable grasses
- But reduced forage quantity and quality
  - Lower proteins and digestibility
  - Hay and pasture
- Not "Weed Free"
  - Difficult to market hay

### Grazing

- Sheep and goats will eat before mature
- Cows eat when young
- Older stems left "inhibit grazing"



### Wildlife

- Inferior bird cover
- Decreased nesting habitat
- Decreased food



### Native species

- Displaces native species
  - Plants
  - Animals
  - Endangered species
    - Multiple mammals and plants in coastal marshes



### Soil

- Alters biophysical soil processes
- Salt accumulation in liter
- Takes up mercury emits to atmosphere



Photo courtesy of: Swellnet.com

### Riparian Areas

- Erosion along waterways
- Competes with cottonwoods and willow establishment



Photo courtesy of: UC Davis

### Poisoning

#### • Horses

- Reports toxicity when bale feeding
- Never confirmed

### Tall Whitetop

- Other Names
- Latin: Lepidium Latifolium
- Common
  - \*Perennial pepperweed
  - Broad leafed pepperweed
  - Broad leafed pepper grass

### Taxonomy

- Lepidium
- Five other introduced Lepidium
- 15 native Lepidium species
  - Much smaller stature
- Brassicaceae (mustard family)
- Cruciferous vegetables
  - Broccoli, kale, cabbage, kohlrabi, bok choy, cauliflower, rutabaga, canola, and many more!



Photo Courtesy of: Health.com

### Food

- Shangso chonma dish of the leaves
- Cooked like collard greens
- Also seeds poor man's pepper

### Distribution

- Native to Europe and Western Asia
- Himalayas to Norway
- North America sugar beet seed containment 1900ish
- Also introduced to Australia
- Large expansion in North America after introduction
- Continuing to expand!
- 820,000 acres in West US 2005



Photo Courtesy of: geneticliteracyproject.org

Map Courtesy of: http://plants.usda.gov/core/profile?symbol=LELA2

http://calweedmapper.cal-ipc.org/maps/

### Very diverse ecotypes

- Coastal marshes, tidal shores, wetlands
- Riparian areas
- Grasslands
- Native meadows
- Hayfields
- Dryland pastures
- Salty soils/poor soils
- Around sagebrush

### Elevational gradient

- Elevation
- Up to 8200 feet in California down to sea level
- In Himalayas up to 14,600 feet!!!
- Cold and warm

### Biology

- Basal Rosettes
  - Fall
  - Spring
- Basal Leaves
- 3-12 inches long
- 1-3 inches wide



### Biology

- Plants "bolt"
  - Time of year depends on location
  - April-June
- 3-8 ft. tall
- Smaller leaves
- Leaf area maximized at flower bud stage
- 25,000 cm^2
- Per meter ^2 (1000 cm^2)



Photo Courtesy of: UCANR.edu

### Biology

- Plants dry down after flowering
- Create thick thatch layer dead material
- Up to 4 cm thick (Renz)
- Make management difficult
- Shades other species seedlings



### Roots

- Coarse wide space roots
- Some deep
- Some branching
- Not good at soil stabilization along creeks
- Young et. al.
  - 19% roots-top 4 inches soil
  - 85% roots-top 24 inches soil
- Tap roots up to 9 feet deep!



### Roots continued

- Approx. 40% biomass below ground!
- Roots form "wood like crown"
- Can grow in salty soil
- Penetrates "restrictive" soil layers
- Can grow into water table
- Does not tolerate "prolonged" flooding



### Reproduction

- Flowers
  - May through August
  - Small white
  - Flower arrangements
- Insect pollinated
- 16 billion seed/ha 200 stems per meter
- 6.5 billion seed/acre at 18 stems per foot^2



### Dispersal

- Water
  - Root chunks float
  - Seeds sink
  - Mucilage forms...
  - Seeds float!!!
- Wind
- Animals
- Humans
- Seeds drop all winter long...



### Seed Dormancy

- At least 2 years
- Maybe more
- However, no hard seed coat?
- Robbins found 64-100% germ of seedling greenhouse
  - Noticed very few in field

### Young et. al. 1997 Seed Germination Experiment

- Tall whitetop field site
  - Soil collected February
  - Greenhouse put in "flats"
  - Watered
    - Seedling emerged
  - Dried out soil
  - Watered
    - More seedlings
  - Repeated over 2 years
  - Seedlings

# Young et. al. 1997 Seed Germination Experiment continued

- No seedlings at field site
- Rototilled
- Still no seedlings
- Very few seedlings documented in field

### Seedlings

- But needed for new populations
- Though maybe seedling mistaken for other mustard species

### Carpinelli et. al. 2005



#### Ruminant digestion germination experiment

Photo Courtesy of Stephanie Stockley at www.the-standard.org

### Carpinelli et. al. 2005

- Two experiments
- Incubation in cannulated cow
  - Seed in mesh bags
  - 48 and 96 hours
- Incubation in water and Ruminant digestion
  - Inserted and passed in mesh bags

#### Tall Whitetop Germination

#### Experiment 1



Carpinelli et. al. 2005

#### Tall Whitetop Germination

Experiment 2



Carpinelli et. al. 2005
## Root Spread

- Can re-establish from roots
- Less than I inch long...
- Root buds
- Patch size



#### University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources

## Root Spread Renz

- Patches spread 3-6 feet per year
- 1999 to 2001
- Patch size increased anywhere from 44% to 129%

## Root spread case study

- Young et. al.
- Honey Lake Wildlife Area
- Area 131 feet\*131 feet
- 1993
  - Two 1 yard patches 10 stems/yard
- 2000
  - Mostly covered some 100 stems/yard
- 2002-2003 decline in stand
  - Precipitation/water table?

## Wotring et. al. 1997

- Treated 2,4-D summer at bloom stage
- Root fragments collected following year
- Untreated 50% grew
- Treated only 5% grew
- Herbicide translocated to root
- But 5% not enough to control

# Blank and Young 2002

- Amelioration of sodic soils
- Changes in Ca, Na, and Mg ratios
- Tall whitetop
  - Increased Mg and Ca levels
  - Reduced sodium absorption ratios
  - Sodic soil amelioration over many years
- However, then need to get rid of Tall whitetop!
- Probably not economical

# Blank et. al. 2002

- Riparian areas
  - Fine shallow root species
  - Grasses Sedges
- Tall whitetop
  - Not restricted by water of restrictive layers
  - Deep roots
  - Get nutrients!
- Over many years bring nutrients up
- Non mobile P becomes depleted in deep root zone
- Eventually favoring other species
- 15 year old stands still alive....

## Control

- Mechanical
- Biological
- Chemical

#### University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources

## Control

- Mechanical
- Biological
- Chemical
- Need to control root!
- Need to prevent seed!
- Need to establish competitive vegetation!

#### University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources

## Mechanical

- Young can be difficult
  - Terrain
  - Water
  - Ag setting easier
- Disking
  - Roots resistant to drying
  - Can spread
  - Renz spread 3 X faster with disking
  - Continual disking has potential

# Mechanical continued

#### Mowing

- Reduce stored sugars
- Multiple times a year
- Mow at bud stage
- Reduce litter
  - Allow grazing
  - Allow herbicide

## Tarping: Hutchinson and Viers 2011

- South of Sacramento
- Study two locations
- Treatments
  - Control
  - Mowing
  - Mowing + tarping
  - Mowing + tilling + tarping
  - Mowing + Glyphosate (Roundup)
  - Mowing + Chlorsulfuron (Telar)

## Hutchinson and Viers 2011

• 2 years after treatment change in stem density

- Control 15% increase
- Mow 70% reduction
- Mow + tarp 12%
- Mow + till + tarp 94%
- Mow + glyphosate 99.5%
- Mow + chlorsulfuron 100%

## Hutchinson and Viers 2011

- Mow + till + tarp did reduce stems
- Authors say
  - More labor intensive
  - More costly
  - More rehabilitation
  - Less favorable than herbicides on natives
- But no herbicide!

## Biocontrol

- Species being investigated
  - Testing for efficacy
  - Testing for no target hosts
    - Native Lepidium
    - Related crops
  - Gall-forming weevil *Ceutorhynchus marginellus* 
    - Other species support adult development
  - Stem-mining flea beetle *Phyllotreta reitteri* 
    - Testing

## Williams et. al. 2014

- Native Attackers!
- Weevils
- Flea beetles
- Leafhoppers
- White Rust (Albugo)
  - Wet years reduce seed production

# Targeted Grazing Handbook (Idaho)

- Sheep and goats
- Graze off 85% growth
  - Every 3-4 weeks
- One year
  - Reduce population
- Multiple years to eliminate
- Combine with herbicide

## Allen et. al. 2001.

- Study with grazing sheep
- 75% perennial pepperweed cover
- Nine 16\*32 ft. plots
- Treatments
  - Grazed
  - Mowed
  - Untreated

## Allen et. al. 2001.

- 1 season
- Grazed plots
  - 37 plants/meter^2 to 8.3 plants/meter^2
- Mowed plots
  - 37 plants/meter^2 to 17.7 plants/meter^2
- Grazing could be tool

## Herbicides

- Published in Extension articles
- 2,4-D-2 qts/acre
  - Only on Nufarm label (taken off others)
  - Still can be used on other "broadleaf weeds"
- Chlorsulfuron (Telar) 1 to 2.6 oz/acre
- Glyphosate (roundup) 2 to 4 qts/acre
- Imazapyr (habitat) 1 to 2 qts/acre
- \*Not labeled in California
  - Imazapic (Plateau)
  - Metsulfuron (Escort)

### Herbicide cost

- 2,4-D 2 quarts, \$9 to \$12.5 per acre
- Telar (generic) 2 ounces, \$37 per acre
- Roundup (or generic) 2 quarts, \$8 to \$13 per acre
- Habitat 1 quart, \$32 per acre

## Renz and DiTomaso 2006

- Three locations
  - Susanville
  - Roadside
  - Floodplain
- Herbicides- Bud Stage
  - Telar
  - Roundup
  - 2,4-D

• Mowing + herbicides sickle bar mower 1-2 inches

## Renz and DiTomaso 2006

- Biomass reduction 1 year after treatment
- Herbicide alone
  - Chlorsulfuron (Telar)
    - 74-99%
  - Roundup (inconsistent)
    - Increase 20% one site
    - 32%
    - 84%
  - 2,4-D (inconsistent)
    - 13 to 74%

## Renz and DiTomaso 2006

- Biomass reduction 1 year after treatment
- Herbicides + Mowing
  - Mowing alone
    - 2-28%
  - Mowing before Telar
    - 99%-100%
  - Mowing before Roundup
    - 98% and 81% at two low elevation sites
    - 87% reduction high elevation
  - Mowing before 2,4-D
    - 9% and 62% reduction low elevation
    - 92% reduction high elevation

## Young et. al. 1998

- Disking and herbicides study
- Disking
  - Lead to initial control
  - One year after no difference
- 2,4-D (ester) June application
  - April following year 2% cover
  - October 85% cover
  - Short term control
- Glyphosate June application
  - October that year 45% cover
  - April following year 85% cover
- Chlorsulfuron June application
  - 5% cover 2 years after
- Disking + 2,4d didn't increase control

## Young et. al. 2002

- Tall whitetop and revegetation
- 2,4-D
- Telar
- Tall wheatgrass

# Young et. al. 2002

- 2,4-D
  - Initial tall whitetop control
  - Lapsed following year
- Telar
  - Good tall whitetop control
- 2,4-D and plant next year
  - Tall wheatgrass seedlings outcompeted
- 2,4-D and plant + low rate 2,4-D over seedlings
  - Good tall wheatgrass establishment
- Telar + plant
  - No seedling establishment

- Two sites by Susanville
- 50-70% live cover Tall Whitetop
- 4 year study
- Physical treatments
  - Burning
  - Grazing
  - Disking
  - Mowing
- In combination Herbicide Treatments
  - 2,4-D
  - Telar
  - Roundup
- Seeding

#### • Burn

- Winter 2003 and 2004
- Fire carried 2003 not 2004
- Flail mower
  - November and June
  - 2003-2005
- Winter grazing
  - February 2003
  - March 2004
  - March 2005

- Herbicides applied in June
- Applied in September for mowing
  - Roundup 1<sup>st</sup> year
    - 2,4-D 2<sup>nd</sup> year
    - 2,4-D 3<sup>rd</sup> year
  - 2,4-D
    - 1<sup>st</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year
  - Telar
    - 1<sup>st</sup> year and 2<sup>nd</sup> year
    - No herbicide 3<sup>rd</sup> year

- Seeding in March
  - Western wheat
  - Beardless wildrye
  - Basin wildrye
  - Slender wheatgrass
- Seeded two years because of lack of establishment

Graph Courtesy of Wilson et. al.

Graph Courtesy of Wilson et. al.

# **Chlorsulfuron Injury to Grass Seedlings**

### No Site Preparation or Reseeding (3 years after treatment initiation)

#### untreated

### chlorsulfuron

### Burn without Reseeding (3 years after treatment initiation)

## chlorsulfuron

2,4-D



### Burn + 2,4-D + Reseeding (3 years after treatment initiation)
#### Burn + 2,4-D + Reseeding (4 years after treatment initiation)

## Wilson et. al. 2008

- Combinations of site prep + herbicide + seeding was necessary
- Need to remove thatch
- Need to use maintenance herbicide applications

## Blank et. al 2002

- Tall whitetop roots not limited by high water tables or restrictive layers
- More competitive advantage initially
- With time more fibrous roots may be more competitive.

## Economics

• Is it worth it to control?

## Eiswerth et. al. 2005

- Dynamic Benefit- Cost Analysis
- Over 15 year period Walker River Nevada
  - Costs associated with controlling
    - Sprayer
    - Chemical
    - Labor
  - Cost associated not controlling
    - Reduced yield
    - Reduced quality
    - Cannot export contaminated hay
    - Reduced livestock carrying capacity

## Eiswerth et. al. 2005

- Three land types
  - Irrigated improved meadow 50 acres
  - Irrigated native meadow 125 acres
  - Dryland pasture 250 acres
- Factors
  - Grazing
  - Grazing + haying
  - Weed expansion rates
  - Herbicide success rates

## Eiswerth et. al. 2005

- \$7 to \$8 per acre cost yearly
- Various expansion rates, etc.
- Grazing only
  - 15-20 years before weed control pays off
- Grazing + haying
  - 4-5 years before weed control pays off

## Eiseworth et. al. 2008

- Douglas County Whitetop Project
- Costs of delayed treatment

## Eiseworth et. al. 2008

#### • Year one

- \$7300 in labor
- \$3600 in chemical
- \$1687 in seed
- Not taken into account
  - Sprayers
  - Ecological harm
  - Lost forage
  - Inflation
  - Etc.
- Looked at three weed expansion rates
  - 10%, 20%, 30%

# Eiseworth et. al. 2008 20% Expansion rate



## Conclusions

- Perennial
  - Hard to control
  - Need to focus on seeds and roots
- Invasive
  - Economic and ecological impacts
- Control
  - Use combination of physical and chemical methods
  - Telar effective established grasses
  - 2,4-D-Glyphosate areas needed to be planted

## Citations

- Young, James A.; Palmquist, Debra E.; Blank, Robert R. 1998. The ecology and control of perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium). Weed Technology. 12(2): 402-405.
- Young, James A.; Palmquist, Debra E.; Blank, Robert R. 1997. Herbicidal control of perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium) in Nevada. In: Management of perennial pepperweed (tall whitetop). Special Report 972. Corvallis, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service; Oregon State University, Agricultural Experiment Station: 26-27
- Young, James A.; Clements, Charlie D.; Blank, Robert R. 2002. Herbicide residues and perennial grass establishment on perennial pepperweed sites. Journal of Range Management.
- Blank, Robert R.; Qualls, Robert G.; Young, James A. 2002. Lepidium latifolium: plant nutrient competition-soil interactions. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 35(6): 458-464.
- Blank, Robert R.; Young, James A. 2002. Influence of the exotic invasive crucifer, Lepidium latifolium, on soil properties and elemental cycling. Soil Science. 167(12): 821-829.
- Renz, Mark J.; DiTomaso, Joseph M. 2004. Mechanism for the enhanced effect of mowing followed by glyphosate application to resprouts of perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium). Weed Science. 52(1): 14-23.
- Renz, Mark Jackson. 2002. Biology, ecology and control of perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latiforium L.). Davis, CA: University of California. 128 p. Dissertation
- Renz, M. J. and J. M. DiTomaso. 2006. Early season mowing improves the effectiveness of chlorsulfuron and glyphosate for control of perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium). Weed Technol. 20: 32–36.
- Renz, Mark; DiTomaso, Joe; Schmierer, Jerry. 1997. Above and below ground distribution of perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium) biomass and the utilization of mowing to maximize herbicide effectiveness. Proceedings, California Weed Conference. 49: 175.
- Eiswerth, M. E., L. Singletary, J. R. Zimmerman, and W. S. Johnson. 2005. Dynamic benefit–cost analysis for controlling perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium): A case study. Weed Technology. 19:237–243
- Wotring, S. O., D. Palmquist, and J. Young. 1997. Perennial Pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium) rooting characteristics. Pages 14–15 in T. Svejcar, ed. Management of Perennial Pepperweed (Tall Whitetop). Special Report 972, USDA Argicultural Research Service and Agricultural Experimental Station. Corvalis, OR: Oregon State University
- Carpinelli, M. E., C. S. Schauer, D. W. Bohnert, S. P. Hardegree, S. J. Falck, and T. J. Svejcar. 2005. Effect of ruminal incubation on perennial pepperweed germination. Rangeland Ecology.and Manage- ment. 58:632–636.
- Gerber et. al. 2011, Annaul Reprot Biological control of perennial pepperweed. Cabi.org
- Wilson, R G., Boelk, D, Kyser, G., DiTomaso, J. M. 2008 Intergrated Management of Perrneial Pepperweed(Lepidum Latifolium) Journal of invaisev Plant Scicne and Management. 1 pp.17-25
- Wilson, R. G., J. M. DiTomaso, and M. J. Renz. 2004. Pest Notes: Perennial Pepperweed. Oakland, CA: UC ANR Publication #74121.
- Wilson, R. 2005. Perennial pepperweed control with herbicides applied at the rosette and flower-bud stage. Newark, CA: Western Society of Weed Science Research Progress Report. Pp. 183–184.
- Allen, J.R., D.W. Holcombe, D.R. Hanks, M. Surian, M. McFarland, L.B. Bruce, and W. Johnson. 2001. Effects of sheep grazing and mowing on the control or containment of tall whitetop. Proceedings of the Western Section American Society of Animal Science 52:77 (Abstract).
- University of Idaho Grazing handbook. 2006 Perennial pepperweed http://www.webpages.uidaho.edu/rx-grazing/Forbs/Perennial\_Pepperweed.htm
- DiTomaso, J.M., G.B. Kyser et al. 2013. Weed Control in Natural Areas in the Western United StatesWeed Research and Information Center, University of California. 544
- Williams III, L.H., Tonkel, K.C., Pitcairn, M. 2014. Natural enemies of perennial pepperweed, lepidium latifolium L., in its introduced range. International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds. p. 132.

## Questions?

