Transition from methyl bromide to fumigant & nonfumigant alternatives for strawberry production in California

Steve Fennimore, UC Davis

UCCE Santa Cruz, February 4, 2016

### The big picture

### **California situation**

- \* Land & labor costs are increasing, & profitability requires high return: blackberry, cut flowers, raspberry, & strawberry ...
- At present strawberry producers need fumigants to suppress soilborne diseases
- \* Strawberry requires a long-term plan to reduce fumigant use
- Strawberry growers need short- and medium- term solutions to suppress soilborne pests

### What to do?

- Public/private research teams need to help develop short-, medium- & longterm solutions
- \* No one <u>knows</u> the short path to successful strawberry production w/o fumigants
- Short- & medium-term research
  -suppress soil pests with fumigants, &
  non-fumigants
- \* Long-term research must be based on IPM fundamentals

### pest management Fundamentals

- Strategy action plan based on needs of the crop & pest biology
- \* Tactics pest control methods

### pest management strategies

- \* Prevention- exclude the pest from the non-infested field
- Management pest is established and must be managed by multiple tactics
- \* Area wide pest management requires regional cooperation
- \* Eradication elimination of the pest

### pest management Tactics

- \* Manipulation of the pest so it does not harm the crop
- \* Manipulate the crop so that it tolerates the pest
- \* Manipulate the environment to suppress the pest

### **Pest manipulation**

- Prevention keep the pest out of the field
- \* Pesticides control the pests
- \* Physical controls substrate production, steam disinfestation

### **Pest manipulation - prevention**

- \* Reduce pathogen in rotational crops e.g. lettuce
- \* Use modern molecular techniques and aerial surveillance to identify where the pest is located in field

## Pest manipulation – Verticillium prevention in lettuce

- \* One tactic being tried in lettuce fumigate at crop termination
- \* We should also be looking at other crop termination methods that do not involve fumigants e.g.
  - \* Steam
  - \* Flaming

### **Pest manipulation- Spatial pest variation**

\* Pathogens are not uniformly distributed yet we treat them as uniform

## Theoretical Pic dose required to control a known pest

		Anulat	INN	
Area	Acres (Field %)	Pathogen severity (10=severe, 0= none)	Chloropicrin dose needed (lbs./A)	Chloropicrin used (lbs.)
А	12 (15%)	9	300	3,600
В	24 (30%)	4	100	2,400
С	44 (55%)	0	0	0
TOTAL	80 (100%)			6,000

80 acres receiving 250 lbs./A of Pic = 20,000 lbs. Pic

### **Diagnostic testing of soilborne pests**

- Poole et al. 2015 Phytopathology 105:1069-1079 used DNA testing of soil for pathogens to predict root diseases in wheat with a high degree of accuracy
- \* At what point will the cost of field mapping of soilborne diseases become cheap enough to pay for with reduced fumigant expense?

### **Pest manipulation - Fumigation**

Pest Control Efficacy of the fumigant TRX-58 in Flower, Mellano & Co.

#### Carlsbad, CA. Fumigation: 10/2/2014



#### 7 Treatments, 3 Replicates

Treatment	Rate
MB Pic	350 lbs./a
Pic Clor 60	350 lbs./a
Dominus + Pic 67:33	40 g/a
Dominus	40 g/a
TRX-58	500 lbs./a
TRX-58 + Pic 67:33	400 lbs. /a
non-treated	-

### Pathogen control Carlsbad, ca

Treatment	Rate	Fusarium (p/g soil)		Pythium	Pythium (p/g soil)	
		PRE	POST	PRE	POST	
MBPic	350 Ibs./A	183	0	17	0 c	
Pic-Clor 60	350 lb./A	1365	0	17	0 c	
Dominus	40 GPA	259	47	35	80 a	
Dominus/Pic	40 GPA	328	38	28	36 b	
TRX-58	500 lb./A	469	201	16	0 c	
TRX-58/Pic	400 Ibs./A	210	74	35	1 c	
Nontreated	0	350	721	13	39 b	

Jim Gerik, USDA-ARS

### Weed control Carlsbad

Treatment	Rate	Ranunculus	Delphinium	Weed time
		Weed	s (no./A)	Hrs. /A
MBPic	350 lb/A	8,349 c	6,587 b	69 e
Pic-Clor 60	350 lb/A	1,597 c	2,569 b	99 cde
Dominus	40 GPA	61,976 a	54,629 a	223 ab
Dominus/Pic	40 GPA	30,686 abc	43,319 a	169 bc
TRX-58	500 lb/A	17,134 bc	1,742 b	87 de
TRX-58/Pic	400 lb/A	27,564 abc	7,050 b	157 bcd
Nontreated	0	52,708 ab	51,480 a	266 a

### **Strawberry results**

### **Dominus (AITC) K-Pam evaluation in**

- \* Treatments 2014-15 Stripapplied rry
  - \* Control
  - \* K-Pam 31 & 62 GPA
  - \* Dominus 20 & 40 GPA
  - \* Pic Clor 60 20 GPA
  - \* Pic Clor 60 fb K-Pam 20 fb 31 GPA
  - \* Pic Clor 60 fb Dominus 20 fb 20 GPA
  - \* K-Pam fb Dominus 31 fb 20 GPA
- \* 4 replicates per treatment, Oct 11 & 15, 2014
- Weed seed bioassay, local weeds, nematodes, pythium, Verticillium 9 & 18 inches deep

### Pathogen control

Treatment	Rate	Nematode	Pythium	Verticillium
	GPA	No./ 50 g soil	PPg soil	MS/g soil
K-Pam	31	18 c	42 bc	3 bc
K-Pam	62	65 bc	27 bc	5 bc
Dominus	20	179 bc	149 bc	8 bc
Dominus	40	252 b	221 b	11 b
Pic fb K-Pam	20 fb 31	1 c	0 c	2 c
Pic fb Dominus	20 fb 20	1 c	0 c	1 c
K-Pam fb Dominus	31 fb 20	3 c	0 c	8 bc
Nontreated	0	1806 a	1239 a	40 a

Becky Westerdahl, nematodes; Frank Martin, pythium; and Steve Koike, Verticillium.

### Weed densities & strawberry fruit yield

Treatment	Rate	Weeds	Fruit
	GPA	No./ A	Lbs./A
K-Pam	31	13,068 b	53,462 c
K-Pam	62	17,424 b	58,314 abc
Dominus	20	13,068 b	58,494 ab
Dominus	40	8,712 b	56,978 bc
Pic fb K-Pam	20 fb 31	13,068 b	60,103 ab
Pic fb Dominus	20 fb 20	8,712 b	62,206 a
K-Pam fb Dominus	31 fb 20	13,068 b	58,499 ab
Nontreated	0	165,528 a	56,422 bc

### Weed propagule control

Treatment	Rate	B. Nettle	Knotweed	Common Purslane	Yellow nutsedge
	GPA		Viabili	ty (%)	
K-Pam	31	17 c	3 c	6 b	2 c
K-Pam	62	13 cd	4 c	3 bc	0 c
Dominus	20	16 c	4 c	4 bc	14 b
Dominus	40	11 cde	12 b	3 bc	0 c
Pic fb K-Pam	20 fb 31	2 e	5 bc	3 bc	1 c
Pic fb Dominus	20 fb 20	3 de	1 c	1 c	1 c
K-Pam fb Dominus	31 fb 20	32 b	8 bc	4 bc	3 c
Nontreated	0	81 a	77 a	79 a	81 a

### Summary, strawberry

### \* **Dominus**

### \*Weak control of nematodes, Pythium

- \* Suppresses Verticillium & weeds
- Fruit yields were highest when Pic was included in the treatment

### Summary, strawberry II

- \* K-Pam
  - \*Weak control of nematodes,
  - \* Suppresses Pythium, Verticillium & weeds
  - Fruit yields were highest when Pic was included in the treatment

### Pest manipulation soil disinfestation with Steam

### The essential role for steam

- It is a non-fumigant method that kills soil pests in minutes consistently
- Steam can be a component in a variety of non-fumigant solutions
- Steam is a stand-alone soil disinfestation treatment
- Steam application is compatible with a custom fumigant business

# Automatic steam application

San Juan Rd. Watsonville, CA 9/10/12



### Weed Densities & Hand Weeding Times 2012-13

Treatment	Watsonville-Ranch 1		
	Weeds (no./Acre)	Time (hr. /Acre)	
Steam + mustard	6,071 b	21 b	
Steam	2,024 b	12 b	
Non-treated	101,175 a	167 a	

Mean separation using Fisher's Protected LSD P = 0.05

### **Pythium Control Ranch 1 2012**

AB B B

### Albion: % Plants With *Macrophomina* p. at Season End

b

b

а

### Seasonal Fruit Yields Ranch 1

b a a

### 2010-2013 Findings

- \* Steam controls soil pests such as Verticillium dahliae, Macrophomina phaseolina, Pythium spp. and weeds.
- \* Strawberry yields in steam treated soils are comparable to yields in fumigated soils. Samtani et al. 2012; Fennimore et al. 2014

### A business role for steam

- \* An 80 acre farm with 72 acres cropped
- \* 65 acres can be fumigated, 7 acres cannot
- \* Fumigant cost \$1,900/A or \$123,500; steam costs \$5,000/A or \$35,000 for total treatment cost of \$158,500.
- Net returns above operating costs for 7 acres \$129,745 based on Albion yields vs \$16,604 for no treatment



### **Direct-fire Steam Generators**

### \* Advantages

- \* No steam boiler
- \* Very efficient
- Water hardness

Johnson Gas Appliance, Cedar Rapids, IA

### Steaming oct. 9 , 2015 Salinas, CA

### **Steam costs – direct fire**

- Our Oct. 2015 fuel use numbers were 862 GPA propane (100% coverage)
- \* Propane cost \$1.44-1.52/Gal (Oct. 2015) \$1,287/A
- \* We are confident that we can improve upon this a great deal

### crop manipulation - ASD

TCR – Watsonville, CA Sept. 2015

### crop manipulation ASD

\* Insert photo of Fuji



Steam

#### Fuji Ranch, Salinas, CA Sept. 2015



### crop manipulation

- Cultural tactics modification of cultural practices used to grow the crop to suppress the pest. Eg. Mustard cover crops
- Host plant resistance breed for increased resistance to pests

### **Environmental manipulation -Substrate production**



### **Substrate production - challenges**

- \* High costs >\$20,000/A more than in soil strawberry production
- \* High maintenance eg. Need for watering 10 times per day
- \* Little room for error. If the water is unavailable eg. Pump needs repair, the crop is imperiled

### What to do?

- Public/private research teams need to help develop short-, medium- & longterm solutions
- \* No one knows the short path to success
- Short- & medium-term research
  -suppress soil pests with fumigants, &
  non-fumigants
- Long-term research must be based on IPM fundamentals

### summary

- \* Dealing with a crisis involves going back to the fundamentals and building up
- \* The California strawberry industry is dealing with a slow moving crisis
- \* Long-term strategies to reduce fumigant use by the strawberry industry must be based on the fundamentals of IPM

**Questions?** Ideas?

University of California Value to the California Strawberry Fruit quimdustry 2014 & 2015\* Vield Disease resistance Pest management Plant nutrition

Crop Bleeding since 1930

No. extension events 120 No. of field experiments 56 Funding (non CSC) \$2.5 million UC IPM & Publications

Training for future industry personnel

**Extension & Research** 

Graduate Students & Postdoctoral Researchers

2014, 2015 M. Bolda, S. Dara, O. Daugovish, S. Fennimore, S. Koike

### **Proposed UC Extension position**

- \* At Salinas field station, within 4 hours of most California strawberries
- \* Possible areas of focus:
  - Strawberry breeding collaborate with UC
    Davis breeder
  - \*Management of organic strawberry
  - Sustainable small fruit production
  - Strawberry nurseries
  - \*Fumigant research
- Form a research cluster with new USDA Salinas hires