Southern California Pomology Research Update

Kirk Larson, UC South Coast R.E.C. - Irvine

- Pomology project website
- Performance of new SD cultivars
- Breeding for disease tolerance/resistance

UCCE Santa Maria Research Conference 12-9-10

UC Pomology website www.plantsciences.ucdavis.edu/ucstrawberry

UC Sheet Research Reports Research Conference Presentations Scientific Articles Event Calendar Annual Pomology Report PSAB Annual Report UC and other Online Resources

Coming soon: Photo gallery with Pest and Disease Photos

Performance of new cultivars in Southern California, 2006-2010

Benicia (C225) Mojave (C227)



Strawberry breeding objectives for UC short-day cultivars **Early production** Long, steady fruiting season Easy to grow (nursery & fruiting field) **Consistently good fruit quality and flavor Plant architecture that facilitates harvest efficiency Disease & environmental tolerance**



Benicia



Mojave

Three-year average^x yield performance for high-elevation advanced short-day selections compared with Camarosa & Ventana, 2006-09

Dig/plant				Yield performance to 6-8							
9/26-9/30	Yield perform to 3/1						Fruit				
ltem	G/plt ^y	Mkt g/plt ^z	Cull (%)	G/plt	Mkt g/plt	Cull (%)	size (g)	арр. (1-5)	firm. (1-5)		
Benicia	396	378	4.6	2227	1791	21.6	34.4	3.1	3.4		
Mojave	507	471	6.0	2176 9,792 C/A	1888 8,496 C//	13.2	36.6	3.8	3.2		
Ventana	407	345	15.2	1962	1540	21.5	32.5	3.2	3.4		
Camarosa	332	269	19.0	2042	1534	24.9	31.0	2.6	3.4		

Grams per plant x 4.5 = number of 12# crates/acre

* One-year of data for C225 and C226 (2008-09)

^y G/plt = total grams per plant; ^z Mkt g/plt = marketable grams per plant

Three-year average^x yield performance for high-elevation advanced short-day selections compared with Camarosa & Ventana, 2006-09

<i>Dig/plant 10/3-10/6</i> Item				Tield performance to 6-8								
	Yield perform to 3/1						Fruit					
	G/plt ^y	Mkt g/plt ^z	Cull (%)	G/plt	Mkt g/plt	Cull (%)	size (g)	арр. (1-5)	firm. (1-5)			
Benicia	234	221	5.6	1784	1462	18.1	33.3	3.4	3.5			
Mojave	259	244	5.8	1803 8,114	1570 7,065	12.9	35.8	3.7	3.3			
Ventana	273	245	10.3	1856	1415	23.8	32.1	3.4	3.4			
Camarosa	163	119	27.0	1808	1293	28.5	30.9	2.7	3.4			

Grams per plant x 4.5 = number of 12# crates/acre

^x Two years of data for C225 and C226 (2007-09)
^y G/plt = total grams per plant; ^z Mkt g/plt = marketable grams per plant

Three-year average^x yield performance for high-elevation advanced short-day selections compared with Camarosa & Ventana, 2006-09

<i>Dig/plant 10/15-10/20</i> Item				Yield performance to 6-8							
	Yield perform to 3/1						Fruit				
	G/plt ^y	Mkt g/plt ^z	Cull (%)	G/plt	Mkt g/plt	Cull (%)	size (g)	app. (1-5)	firm. (1-5)		
Benicia	219	201	8.2	1921 8,645	1613 7,260	16.0	33.5	3.4	3.5		
Mojave	236	217	8.1	1756	1534	12.6	36.3	3.8	3.2		
Ventana	252	230	8.7	1910	1559	18.4	33.1	3.4	3.4		
Camarosa	182	145	20.3	1832	1381	24.6	30.9	2.8	3.4		
	Grams	per pl	ant x 4.5	5 = num	ber of 1	2# crat	tes/acre	9			

* Two years of data for C225 and C226 (2007-09)

^y G/plt = total grams per plant; ^z Mkt g/plt = marketable grams per plant

Performance of short-day selections and cultivars in Oxnard, 2008-09

Glen Hasegawa / Steve Imoto - Camarillo Ranch

ltem	Crates/acre to 3/1	Crates/acre to 5/16
Benicia	658	5,051
Mojave	997	5,419
Ventana	1,199	5,337
Palomar	1,217	5,701

Standard cultivars planted Oct. 1, new cultivars planted Oct. 8

Performance of new SD cultivars compared w/ Ventana, 2009-10

Yield performance to 6-8

	Yield p	Yield perform to 3					Fruit		
ltem	G/plt ^y	Mkt g/plt ^z	Cull (%)	G/plt	Mkt g/plt	Cull (%)	size (g)	арр. (1-5)	firm. (1-5)
High elevation p	lants - dig/plar	nt 9/28-10/	1						
Benicia	954	801	16.0	1885	1484	21.3	32.2	3.0	3.5
Mojave	809	729	10.0	1743	1446	17.0	33.8	3.7	3.2
Ventana	1237	904	26.9	2133	1530	28.3	29.4	2.8	3.2
High elevation p	lants - dig/plar	nt 10/15-10	0/20						
Benicia	696	626	10.1	1841	1641	10.1	33.8	3.2	3.3
Mojave	560	502	10.4	1555	1388	10.7	34.4	3.6	3.2
Ventana	751	595	20.1	2090	1699	18.7	32.5	2.9	3.0
Low elevation pl	ants with leave	es on - dig	g/plant 10/11	-10/13					
Mojave	863	789	8.6	1968	1755	10.8	41.7	3.5	3.3

^y G/plt = total grams per plant; ^z Mkt g/plt = marketable grams per plant 100 grams/plant = 450 crates/acre





Benicia



Photos taken March 20





Qualitative Performance Evaluations for Short-day Selections: So. Calif.

	Benicia compared with Ventana	Mojave compared with Ventana
Productivity	0	0
Production pattern	0	+
Fruit size	+	+
Firmness	+	0
Appearance	0	+
Flavor	+	+
Postharvest	+	0
Rain - weather tolerance	0	+
Disease tolerance	0	0
Mite tolerance	0	0
Harvest ease	+	+
Cull rate	+	+
Runners (nursery)	+	+

"+", "0" or "--" indicates performance that is better, equal, or inferior to that of Ventana

Advanced selections: resistance/tolerance to major pathogens

Genotype	Resistance score (5 = best)							
	Phytophthora	Verticillium	Colletotrichum					
Ventana	2.1	2.9	2.7					
Benicia	3.5	2.1	2.6					
Mojave	2.3	3.8	2.7					

Benicia in Southern California

Adapted to early planting, but reduced fruit app. score Similar production to Ventana with greater total yield and lower cull rate

- Larger fruit than Ventana
- **Consistently excellent flavor**
- Vigorous plant w/ open structure harvest efficiency

Cautions:

Fruit may darken during hot periods Verticillium

Mojave in Southern California

Adapted to very early planting Earlier fruiting than Ventana with greater total yield Larger fruit than Ventana with better flavor Very low cull rate Consistent fruit shape & color with bright red shine Open plant structure - harvest efficiency

Cautions:

Not quite as firm as most UC cultivars

Phytophthora cactorum

Developing strawberry cultivars with tolerance to pests and diseases

UCD: P. cactorum, V. dahliae, S. macularis, T. urticae UC SCREC: C. acutatum, M. phaseolina

Assess tolerance/susceptibility of cultivars & advanced selections to important pests/pathogens

Identify sources of genetic resistance/tolerance, incorporate into breeding lines

C. acutatum genetic screen





C. acutatum genetic screen

Evaluate ~50 cultivars and advanced selections annually







Highly susceptible





Macrophomina plant collapse in So. California

an increasingly common problem



Macrophomina phaseolina genetic screen U.C. SCREC, Irvine

Tom Gordon, Steve Koike, Lassen Canyon Nursery

Experimental site with *M. phaseolina* only

- Fumigate site with MB:Pic (57:43, 350#/A): 5-20-09 Establish Albion frigo plants: 6-09-09
- Inoculate Albion plants with M. phaseolina: 8-12-09
- Incorporate infected plants into soil: 8-31-09
- **Re-establish beds**
- Plant 54 cvs & adv selections (HE nursery)
- Evaluate germplasm survival

MAC field inoculation Aug. 11-12, 2009 UC SCREC

Frigo Albion





Albion plants 2 weeks after inoculation

Widespread disease symptoms





Incorporate infected plants



Plant high-elevation cultivars and selections



Control plots

Late May 2010



Innoculated plots Late May, 2010



Macrophomina Innoculated and control plots, May 2010





Results for 2009-10

- 5 of 44 advanced selections had survival rates of 65-85%
- None of the 10 cultivars had survival rates > 40%
- Monterey, Portola, S. Andreas and Ventana had survival rates of 35-40%

'Ecocover' paper bed mulch

Biodegradeable paper mulch

Paper mulch Biodegradeable Poor heat Transmission Durability issues

